UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,935	10/10/2006	Dieter Otto Paul Junkers	APV31912	4202
77213 Novak Druce +	7590 10/27/200 Quigg, LLP	EXAMINER		
1300 Eye Street, NW, Suite 1000			LAVILLA, MICHAEL E	
Suite 1000, West Tower Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/560,935	JUNKERS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MICHAEL LAVILLA	1794					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 De	ecember 2005 (Prelim_Amend)						
· <u> </u>							
<i>;</i> —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
, 	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.	• _						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) 1-41 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
o) Claim(s) 1-41 are subject to restriction and/or to	siection requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite					

Application/Control Number: 10/560,935

Art Unit: 1794

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.
- 4. Group I, claim(s) 1-16 and 23-37, drawn to metal band and metal tube and metal strip.
- 5. Group II, claim(s) 17-22 and 38-41, drawn to method of coating metal strip.
- 6. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The corresponding technical feature among the claim groups constitutes the metal strip as defined by Claim 12, which is the common structural element in the independent claims of Group I and which is the product formed by the independent method claims of Group II. However, the metal strip of Claim 12 is rendered obvious by Ferenczy et al. USPN 5,553,640 in view of Yan et al. USPN 6,413,651. Ferenczy et al. USPN 5,553,640 teaches metal strip for making a double-walled tube, wherein the metal strip is made of steel and wherein the metal strip is coated with a brazing layer formed of copper/tin alloy. See Ferenczy et al. (col. 2, lines 30-67; col. 3, line 52 through col. 4, line 33; and Claim 1). Ferenczy et al. does not teach that the amount of tin is 3-12 weight percent in the copper/tin brazing layer, but rather is 13-15 weight percent. Ferenczy et al. teaches that controlling brazing temperature is a concern. Yan et al. teaches that using amounts of tin in the 3 to 10 weight percent range results in favorable brazing fluidity and combining strength, as well as favored brittleness, when forming metal sheet composites with brazing layer. See Yan et al. (col. 3, lines 24-66; col. 5, lines 8-36; and Claims 1 and 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize amounts of tin in the vicinity of the lower range endpoint of Ferenczy et al., including amounts near 10 weight percent, in order to balance the brazing temperature concerns of Ferenczy with the favorable brazing fluidity, combining strength, and brittleness concerns of Yan et al. The resulting copper/tin brazing alloys would

Application/Control Number: 10/560,935

Art Unit: 1794

encompass those claimed, rendering the claimed article obvious with respect to Ferenczy et al. in view of Yan et al.

Page 3

- A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 9. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

 Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention

Application/Control Number: 10/560,935

Art Unit: 1794

must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Page 4

12. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

CONCLUSION

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL LAVILLA whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/560,935 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

(571)272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

- 14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil, can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael La Villa/ Michael La Villa Primary Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794 25 October 2009